



Parish Council Meeting - Minutes

Recorded by: Mandy Rossiter - Clerk

Date: 3rd October 2023

Meeting Commenced: 19:30

Meeting ended: 20:20

Present:

Parish Councillors:	Peter Lead (Chair) Katharine McNealey (Vice-Chair) Paul Baynham-Honri
District Councillors:	
County Councillor:	
Clerk:	Mandy Rossiter
Other:	Six Members of Public

Items

2023/95 To receive and accept any apologies for absence
Apologies were received and accepted from Cllr Noon

2023/96 To invite and receive any declarations of pecuniary interest in items on the agenda
There were none

2023/97 To offer an open forum to members of the public for discussions and questions
There were no other matters to discuss. Chair agreed to allow public discussion during agenda item.

2023/98 To consider and comment on the following planning applications:
a) S.23/1586/VAR | Variation to condition 1 (approved plans) to include a retaining wall and condition 7 (access) of application S.18/1039/FUL | Ram Inn High Street South Woodchester Stroud Gloucestershire GL5 5EL

Cllr Lead read through the terms of condition 7 of the application, proposal and variations on the plans.

Initials.....

Peter noted the objections from Ram Supporters and SWALT. Following a lengthy discussion between the PC, members of SWALT, the applicant and members of the public, the council agreed to write to SDC with the following response:

Woodchester Parish Council held a public meeting on the 3rd October which was attended by the applicant and several members of the public.

Concern was expressed over the plan attached to the application as this was in variance with the approved plan 1455/02 Rev F. The applicant agreed that the plan as shown on Plans and Elevations as proposed could be replaced with the approved plan 1455/02 Rev F. The Parish Council therefore request this be actioned accordingly to ensure that the development is carried out in accordance with the approved plans and in the interests of good planning reference consent S.18/1039/FUL.

Concern was also expressed over the potential for the car park not being developed for several years. Whilst the PC were reassured by the applicant that the intent is to complete the build as soon as possible we would ask that there be a time constraint of 12 months within which time the carpark should be finished as per the consent conditions.

This condition would protect the best interests of the future of the Ram Inn. Having understood the complications of the build from the applicant we would not object to the proposed change to condition 7 subject to the implication of our comments above.

The details of the retaining wall is something that the PC is happy to defer to the planning officer.